

International Workshop on Advances in Assessment and Modelling of Earthquake Loss, Istanbul, 4th – 5th November 2019

Elements at Risk, Fragilities, Consequence Functions and Vulnerabilities

State of the practice, challenges and future directions

Helen Crowley (Seismic Risk Consultant/EUCENTRE, Pavia, Italy)

• <u>Exposure models</u> are developed to describe the spatial distribution of elements at risk (buildings, transportation networks, critical infrastructure) and their key attributes (seismic performance characteristics, monetary value, occupants, count..).

• <u>Exposure models</u> are developed to describe the spatial distribution of elements at risk (buildings, transportation networks, critical infrastructure) and their key attributes (seismic performance characteristics, monetary value, occupants, count..).

• Such exposure models can be used in the insurance/reinsurance industry for the assessment of ground up losses, or to identify the most likely building classes within a given portfolio of assets (when such information is lacking).



• A European model describing the spatial distribution building count, residential population, and total replacement cost for residential, commercial, and light industrial buildings is being developed for 46 European countries in the Horizon 2020 SERA project.



https://eu-risk.eucentre.it

Only using public/open data

Open Geospatial Consortium web services

Creative Commons open licenses







- Public/open sources of data:
 - latest national housing and population census (for dwellings, built up areas, buildings, population, socio-economic indicators: labour force, floor area per employee per economic sector,...)



- Public/open sources of data:
 - latest national housing and population census (for dwellings, built up areas, buildings, population, socio-economic indicators: labour force, floor area per employee per economic sector,...)
 - European projects and global initiatives (TABULA: Typology Approach for Building Stock Energy Assessment, World Housing Encyclopedia, PAGER)





- Public/open sources of data:
 - latest national housing and population census (for dwellings, built up areas, buildings, population, socio-economic indicators: labour force, floor area per employee per economic sector,...)
 - European projects and global initiatives (TABULA: Typology Approach for Building Stock Energy Assessment, World Housing Encyclopedia, PAGER)
 - Eurostat (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database)







- Public/open sources of data:
 - latest national housing and population census (for dwellings, built up areas, buildings, population, socio-economic indicators: labour force, floor area per employee per economic sector,...)
 - European projects and global initiatives (TABULA: Typology Approach for Building Stock Energy Assessment, World Housing Encyclopedia, PAGER)
 Eurostat
 - Eurostat (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database)
 - academic publications (typical building classes, building surveys)







- Public/open sources of data:
 - latest national housing and population census (for dwellings, built up areas, buildings, population, socio-economic indicators: labour force, floor area per employee per economic sector,...)
 - European projects and global initiatives (TABULA: Typology Approach for Building Stock Energy Assessment, World Housing Encyclopedia, PAGER)
 - Eurostat (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database)
 - academic publications (typical building classes, building surveys)
 - ...judgment/feedback from local experts
 - Average replacement cost per m²
 - Average area per dwelling
 - Number of dwellings per floor
 - Distribution of building classes (given e.g. year of construction, multi/single family dwelling, external material, number of floors)









Elements at Risk - Challenges

- Access to proprietary data (even from a national census)
- Large amount of judgment
- Lack of reproducibility
- Uncertainties often not explicitly documented or modelled
- Static models that are not frequently (or easily) updated

Elements at Risk – Future Directions

- Dynamic exposure modelling
 - Integrate big data: OpenStreetMap, satellite imagery, low-cost sensors
 - Include Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) data for real-time updating (period elongation, structural response)
 - Need to develop software, webservices and tools to automatically extract data, integrate and update the exposure model.









• Probability of reaching or exceeding a set of damage states, given a level of ground shaking intensity (for a specific building or class of buildings).



• Probability of reaching or exceeding a set of damage states, given a level of ground shaking intensity (for a specific building or class of buildings).



- Analytical models of buildings (or other elements at risk)
 - Account for specific characteristics (geometrical/material properties, design level, adherence to code..)
 - Hazard consistent/appropriate ground motions
 - Nonlinear dynamic analysis



• Evolution of seismic design across Europe, digitization of zonation maps, calculation of lateral load coefficients





Building-to-building variability (material, geometry, ..)



Simulated design (given design level)



Adapted from Verderame et al. 2008

Backbone curves of designed MDOF structure





Nonlinear dynamic analysis (MDOF transformed to SDOF or 2DOF models)



Threshold displacements for damage states



https://platform.openquake.org/vulnerability/



https://platform.openquake.org/vulnerability/

General Information	Fragility Baoxin_et_al_2011_RC-7.5B-5storeys
Assessment Type: Fragility Name: Baoxin et al 2011 RC-7.5B-5storeys	1.0 0.9-
Category: Structure specific - Building Taxonomy: CR/LFM+DUC/HEX:5/YPRE:2001/EDU+EDU2 (GEM)	- 8.0 eg
Reference: Evaluation of collapse resistance of RC frame structures for Chinese schools in seismic design categories B and C (Baoxin T., Xinzheng L., Lieping Y., Wei S., 2011) - Earthquake engineering and engineering vibration	0.6- 5.0.5-
Geographical Applicability: China	
Methodology: Analytical	
General Comments: The structure was designed in accordance with the 2001 Code for seismic design of buildings (GB50011- 2001) in China, for seismic design category B, and for an earthquake intensity equal to 7.5, that corresponds to 0.15 g PGA. The set of 22 far-field ground motion records proposed in ATC-63, and El-Centro ground motion record, are used as input in the IDA.	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
 Modelling Information 	Sa(T) [m/s ²] - T = Telastic (s) = 1.11 collapse

Intensity Measure	Damage State	
Sa(T) (m/s^2)	collapse	
Mean	7.909	
Standard Deviation (SD)	2.638	

• Not all existing models consider the same uncertainties.

- Not all existing models consider the same uncertainties.
- So what are the predominant uncertainties we should be modelling and how should they be quantified?

- Not all existing models consider the same uncertainties.
- So what are the predominant uncertainties we should be modelling and how should they be quantified?
 - Building variability (e.g. geometry/material properties within and between buildings)
 - Modelling uncertainty (e.g. modelling approach e.g. fibre-element/plastic hinge/FEM/DEM, choice of constitutive model and associated parameters)
 - Uncertainty in thresholds to damage.
 - Ground motion variability

- Not all existing models consider the same uncertainties.
- So what are the predominant uncertainties we should be modelling and how should they be quantified?
 - Building variability (e.g. geometry/material properties within and between buildings)
 - Modelling uncertainty (e.g. modelling approach e.g. fibre-element/plastic hinge/FEM/DEM, choice of constitutive model and associated parameters)
 - Uncertainty in thresholds to damage.
 - Ground motion variability

► Current research is inconclusive on relative importance of each of these (but has probably tended to underestimate <u>modelling uncertainty</u>)

• With increased access to experimental tests of components and fullscale buildings, more efforts should be made to address modelling uncertainty.

- With increased access to experimental tests of components and fullscale buildings, more efforts should be made to address modelling uncertainty.
- Even when the selected modelling approach is tested/calibrated against some experimental tests, blind prediction exercises show that results from <u>plausible models</u> can still vary significantly.



Concrete Column Blind Prediction Contest 2010 (Note: not all models shown here are necessarily 'plausible')

- With increased access to experimental tests of components and fullscale buildings, more efforts should be made to address modelling uncertainty.
- Even when the selected modelling approach is tested/calibrated against some experimental tests, blind prediction exercises show that results from <u>plausible models</u> can still vary significantly.
- Sensible variations of the model should thus still be undertaken when developing fragility functions for a given structural typology (or impact should be applied *ex post* through engineering judgment, based on results of other similar studies – of which more are needed).

• Epistemic (modelling) uncertainty should be included in the risk analysis (maybe through a backbone approach where aleatory variabilities are represented by a logic tree of limited branches)



• Coming back to sensors in buildings, the data collected in our dynamic exposure models (frequency characteristics, period elongation, structural response) can be used to <u>better constrain</u> <u>numerical models</u> of the buildings (used to develop fragility functions).

- Coming back to sensors in buildings, the data collected in our dynamic exposure models (frequency characteristics, period elongation, structural response) can be used to <u>better constrain</u> <u>numerical models</u> of the buildings (used to develop fragility functions).
- Measurements of the actual levels of ground shaking and response of the building after a significant event could also be used for a multitude of insurance related activities:
 - Rapid assessment of damage/loss,
 - Prioritisation of post-event damage inspections,
 - Parametric insurance triggers

Vulnerability Models - State of Practice

• Probability of loss, conditional on a level of ground shaking

Vulnerability Models - State of Practice

- Probability of loss, conditional on a level of ground shaking
- Analytical fragility functions + (semi-empirical) <u>consequence functions</u>

Vulnerability Models - State of Practice

- Probability of loss, conditional on a level of ground shaking
- Analytical fragility functions + (semi-empirical) <u>consequence functions</u>
- Consequence functions: probability of loss conditional on damage (where loss may be injuries, fatalities, repair costs, downtime..)



Vulnerability Models – State of Practice

https://platform.openquake.org/vulnerability/

OPENQUAKE Calculate Share	Explore	Sign in Register ? GEM
List of curves Fragility Vulnerability Damage-to-	loss Capacity curve	New function
Country:	Region: Method of est.:	
Material:	LLRS: Author:	
Category:	Damage scale:	TFilter
DiPasquale-Goretti (2008) - Maximum-damage-vertical-structure DiPasquale-Goretti (2008) - Mean-damage-building DiPasquale-Goretti (2008) - Mean-damage-vertical-structure Durkal et. al (2006) - Damage ratio Kappos et al. (2006) - RC buildings	Q More details Damage-to-loss Durkal et. al (2006) - Damage ratio 1.0 - 0.90 - 0.80 - 0.70 - 0.60 - 0.60 - 0.40 - 0.30 - 0.20 - 0.10 - 0.0 -	
	Grade 1 Grade 2 Grade 3 Grade 4 Grade 5 Permalink Damage State	

OpenQuake Platform 2019 | About | Terms of use | Contact us

Vulnerability Models – State of Practice

https://platform.openquake.org/vulnerability/



OpenQuake Platform 2019 | About | Terms of use | Contact us

• Which models should be selected from existing databases?

- Which models should be selected from existing databases?
- Systematic verification of existing fragility/consequence/vulnerability models could be undertaken, for example by estimating damage/losses from past events using ShakeMaps and comparing with observed losses.



- Which models should be selected from existing databases?
- Systematic verification of existing fragility/consequence/vulnerability models could be undertaken, for example by estimating damage/losses from past events using ShakeMaps and comparing with observed losses.
- Tools to do this automatically with the aforementioned database could be set up.



• There is limited (reliable) data in the public domain both for developing models and testing them (in particular related to injuries and fatalities for specific building classes).

- There is limited (reliable) data in the public domain both for developing models and testing them (in particular related to injuries and fatalities for specific building classes).
- Future efforts to standardise the collection of open and publicly available consequence data is fundamental for a better understanding of the impacts of earthquakes and for better calibration and verification of loss models.

• The EPOS (European Plate Observing System) European Research Infrastructure Consortium promotes development of services and tools for accessing, sharing and integrating open data (with metadata, DOI, Creative Commons licenses etc.)

- The EPOS (European Plate Observing System) European Research Infrastructure Consortium promotes development of services and tools for accessing, sharing and integrating open data (with metadata, DOI, Creative Commons licenses etc.)
- Within EPOS Seismology, the European Facilities for Earthquake Hazard and Risk (<u>EFEHR</u>) Consortium has recently be established.

- The EPOS (European Plate Observing System) European Research Infrastructure Consortium promotes development of services and tools for accessing, sharing and integrating open data (with metadata, DOI, Creative Commons licenses etc.)
- Within EPOS Seismology, the European Facilities for Earthquake Hazard and Risk (<u>EFEHR</u>) Consortium has recently be established.
- EFEHR provides and maintains services and data related to seismic hazard and risk (including exposure, vulnerability and risk products).

https://eu-risk.eucentre.it



The European Seismic Risk Service is part of the European Facilities for Earthquake Hazard and Risk (EFEHR). EFEHR is a non-profit network of organisations and community resources aimed at advancing earthquake hazard and risk assessment in the European-Mediterranean area.

This web platform provides interactive access to:

- European exposure data and models for residential, commercial and industrial buildings and their occupants;
- European fragility, consequence and vulnerability models;
- indicators and composite indices of European social vulnerability, resilience and recovery;
- European seismic risk results in terms of average annual loss (AAL), probable maximum loss (PML), and risk maps in terms of economic loss and

- The EPOS (European Plate Observing System) European Research Infrastructure Consortium promotes development of services and tools for accessing, sharing and integrating open data (with metadata, DOI, Creative Commons licenses etc.)
- Within EPOS Seismology, the European Facilities for Earthquake Hazard and Risk (<u>EFEHR</u>) Consortium has recently be established.
- EFEHR provides and maintains services and data related to seismic hazard and risk (including exposure, vulnerability and risk products).
- As stakeholders of these services, we would be interested to know more about your needs, and ideas on how we might continue to sustain the costs of these services.





info.eu-risk@eucentre.it / helen.crowley@eucentre.it

